

— BUREAU OF — RECLAMATION

How Bureau of Reclamation is Reframing Reservoir Sedimentation

Presented by Tim Randle, PhD, PE, D.WRE. Jennifer Bountry, MS, PE Bureau of Reclamation



Presentation Overview

- How Reclamation is working on communication of reservoir sediment management issues and solutions
- Detection and monitoring strategies
- New research and numerical modeling
- Reservoir sediment management strategies
- Reservoir sedimentation challenges at Reclamation
- Using a prize competition to crowd source ideas



Paonia Reservoir, CO



- Reclamation has 294 reservoirs with 141 million acre-feet of storage capacity
- These reservoirs are considered assets that need to be managed over the long term, but dam outlets were originally designed to function until the dead storage was full of sediment









- Sedimentation is reducing storage capacity over time and may eventually impair dam and reservoir facilities
 - Sedimentation impacts occur long before the reservoir completely fills with sediment
 - Severe droughts will lower the reservoir pools, allow sediment to move closer to the dam, stress vegetation in the upstream watershed, and increase future sediment yields







 Reclamation is implementing a reservoir sedimentation monitoring policy to better track sedimentation impacts

Aerial surveys by LiDAR



Bathymetric surveys boat, GPS, multibeam depth sounder





Communicating the Reservoir Sedimentation Paradigm

White papers

 <u>Reservoir Sediment Management: Building a Legacy of</u> <u>Sustainable Water Storage Reservoirs (Randle, et al., 2019)</u>

International workshops

- April 2018
- August 2021

Journal articles

- <u>Sustaining United States reservoir storage capacity: Need for a</u> <u>new paradigm (Randle, et al., 2021)</u>
- <u>Sediment Mismanagement Puts Reservoirs and Ecosystems at</u> <u>Risk</u> (Tullos, et al., 2021)

• Webinars (7 recorded webinars 2018-2019)



Detection and Monitoring

- Proposal to form agency-wide reservoir survey team
- Use of advance technology
 - Survey grade GPS, multi-beam depth sounders
 - Data processing software
 - Compressed High Intensity Radar Pulse (CHIRP) Sonar





Detection and Monitoring

 New Guidelines for Developing Reservoir Sedimentation Monitoring Plans, September 2021)
 Sonar



Guidelines for Developing Reservoir Sedimentation Monitoring Plans



https://www.usbr.gov/t sc/techreferences/rese rvoir/GuidesForDevRes ervoirSedimentationM onitoringPlans 09-2021 508.pdf





September 202

Detection and Monitoring

Developing reservoir survey cost estimating guidelines



Detection and Monitoring: Reservoir survey inventory





Detection and Monitoring: Sediment contributing drainage areas



Foster et al., in prep



Detection and Monitoring: Projected sedimentation storage loss





Foster et al., in prep

 SRH-3D model developed to simulate sediment turbidity currents through a Taiwan reservoir





Economics Without Sediment Management After Discounting



Economics With Sediment Management After Discounting





Analysis Year

- Economics comprehensively considers all benefits and costs to society and how they change over time
- Benefits and costs change with reservoir sedimentation
- Over time, sedimentation leads to
 - Diminished reservoir storage and recreation benefits
 - Upstream impacts to lands, infrastructure, and habitat
 - Downstream costs to lands, infrastructure, and habitat
 - Eventual dam decommissioning



- Economics comprehensively considers all benefits and costs to society and how they change over time
- Benefits and costs change with reservoir sedimentation
- Over time, sedimentation leads to
 - Diminished reservoir storage and recreation benefits
 - Upstream impacts to lands, infrastructure, and habitat
 - Downstream costs to lands, infrastructure, and habitat
 - Eventual dam decommissioning



- Predicting Reservoir Drawdown Flushing to Improve Reservoir Sustainability
- Quantifying the development & dynamics of reservoir delta riparian habitats
- Investigating physical processes impacting fish passage on reservoir deltas and potential solutions



Sediment Management Strategies





Morris, 2015

Reservoir Sediment Routing

• Tunnel bypass



Turbid Density Currents in Reservoirs



Morris, 2019

Remove or Redistribute Sediments

Pressure flushing of sediments near the dam outlet



Opening of Cherry Creek Dam Outlets

Downstream Monitoring

Remove or Redistribute SedimentsDrawdown flushing for river erosion



Headcut Erosion in Spenser Reservoir Sediment Flush below Spenser Dam

Remove or Redistribute Sediments

- Mechanical or hydraulic dredging or dry excavation
 - Transport by slurry pipeline, truck, or conveyor belt for discharge to the downstream river channel, other beneficial use, or disposal site









Beneficial Uses

- Soil augmentation for agriculture
- Land development
- Construction fill
- Concrete aggregate



- Wetland and other shallow water habitat creation
- Shoreline beach development or augmentation
- Offset downstream channel incision







Gregory L. Morris • Jiahua Fan



@AGUPUBLICATIONS

Earth's Future

RESEARCH ARTICLE

10.1002/2013EF000184

Key Points:

 Reservoirs trap sediment, losing storage capacity
 Downstream reaches can become sediment starved
 Many dams can be designed/operated to pass sediment

Corresponding author: G. M. Kondolf, kondolf@berkeley.edu

Citation:

Kondolf, G. M. et al. (2014), Sustainable sediment management in reservoirs and regulated rivers: Experiences from five continents, *Earth's Future*, 2, 256–280, doi:10.1002/2013EF000184.

Sustainable sediment management in reservoirs and regulated rivers: Experiences from five continents

G. Mathias Kondolf¹, Yongxuan Gao², George W. Annandale³, Gregory L. Morris⁴, Enhui Jiang⁵, Junhua Zhang⁵, Yongtao Cao⁵, Paul Carling⁶, Kaidao Fu⁷, Qingchao Guo⁸, Rollin Hotchkiss⁹, Christophe Peteuil¹⁰, Tetsuya Sumi¹¹, Hsiao-Wen Wang¹², Zhongmei Wang⁵, Zhilin Wei¹³, Baosheng Wu¹⁴, Caiping Wu⁵, and Chih Ted Yang¹⁵

¹University of California, Berkeley, California, USA, ²Natural Heritage Institute, San Francisco, California, USA, ³Golder Associates, Lakewood, Colorado, USA, ⁴GLM Engineering COOP, San Juan, Puerto Rico, USA, ⁵Yellow River Institute of Hydraulic Research, Zhengzhou, Henan, China, ⁴University of Southampton, Southampton, UK, ⁷Yunnan University, Kunming, Yunnan, China, ⁸Institute of Water Resources and Hydropower Research, Beijing, China, ⁹Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah, USA, ¹⁰Compagnie Nationale du Rhone, Lyon, France, ¹¹Kyoto University, Gokasho, Kyoto, Japan, ¹²National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan, ¹³Wuhan University, Wuhan, Hubei, China, ¹⁴Tsinghua University, Beijing, China, ¹⁵Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA

Abstract By trapping sediment in reservoirs, dams interrupt the continuity of sediment transport through rivers, resulting in loss of reservoir storage and reduced usable life, and depriving downstream reaches of sediments essential for channel form and aquatic habitats. With the acceleration of new dam construction globally, these impacts are increasingly widespread. There are proven techniques to pass







Case Studies: McPhee Reservoir, CO dredging

- Located on the Dolores River 10 miles north of Cortez, CO
- Drought triggered reservoir dredging to remove sediment that blocked delivery of water to irrigation canals during the reservoir's lowest level.



4,470 surface acres 13,000 yd³ dredged



Paonia Reservoir, CO

- Location: Muddy Creek, a tributary of the North Fork of Gunnison River in western Colorado
- Reservoir Area: Approximately 315 surface acres at full pool
- 8.75 million yd³
- Large wood at intake
- Spring sluicing



Case Studies: Paonia Dam and Reservoir, CO



Case Study: Imperial Reservoir, AZ/CA



 Legend

 Imperial denge Es. 1370 total amount to be removed 144,962 cubic yards.

 Imperial Den lower sediment basin finish diredge Es. 1370 total amount of ametrial to be removed 270,399 cubic yards.

 Imperial Dam upper sediment basin finish diredge Es. 133.00 total amount of material to be removed 153.00 total amount of material to be removed 150.00 total amount of material total material to be removed 150.00 total amount of material total material total total material total total material total total material total total

- Location: Colorado River, 18 miles northeast of Yuma
- Reservoir Area: 69 surface acres are dredged
- •1.95 million yd³

Horseshoe Reservoir, AZ

- Location: Verde River
- Reservoir Area: 2722
 surface acres
- •74 million yd³
- Considering enlarging downstream Bartlett Dam





https://www.srpnet.com/water/dams/horseshoe-sediment.aspx

Case Study: Enlarged Barlett Dam to replace Horseshoe Dam





Case Study: Grand Canyon Sediment Augmentation Study

- Seasonally increase turbidity to provide cover for native fish.
- Annually increase sand supply to build larger sandbars, in conjunction with beach-building flows.



Endangered Humpback Chub

Grand Canyon sandbar



Proposed Lake Powell sediment slurry pipeline alignment



Concept for submerged slurry pipelines



Guardians of the Reservoir Prize Challenge

For More Information:

https://www.herox.com/GuardiansoftheReservoir

Sponsors:

Bureau of Reclamation





Contractors:







Challenge Goal

- Develop and demonstrate innovative approaches that may have additional capabilities or efficiencies over existing sediment removal solutions.
- Looking for technologies that will annually move sediment downstream.





Limitations of Current Methods

Expense

 Dredging can cost more than \$20/yd³

Durability and reliability

 Sand and gravel can be very abrasive, causing equipment failure and downtime

Versatility

 Reservoirs have different shapes, sizes, fluctuations in stage, and many have depths greater than 50 ft and long lengths

Water loss

 Reservoir flushing or sluicing uses valuable water storage



Solution Constraints



- Must not cause significant reservoir drawdown.
- Must be able to coexist with recreational activities, without limiting access to large areas of the reservoir or endangering visitors.
- Should not release harmful materials into the water or the air and should not endanger wildlife.



Challenge Summary



- Phase 1: Proposals
 - Five teams won \$75,000 each to further develop their proposed solution and compete in Phase 2
- Phase 2: Lab-scale demonstration
 - Teams received technical support, mentoring, and business plan development guidance to further advance their idea
 - 3 teams won \$25,000 and advanced to Phase 3
- Phase 3: Lab or field-scale demonstration
 - The top three teams have 13 weeks to complete a lab or field scale demonstration to be judged in August 2022
 - Top team wins \$100,000!

We are here

3D DREDGER™

- Nicholas LaBry and Kenneth LaBry of Prometheus Innovations, LLC., and Bartolomeo Mongiardino of Hydro Maintenance Service, Louisiana, Complete Sediment Management
- Developing a fully autonomous dredging system designed to handle sediment and larger debris using three dredging attachments.
- System designed for deployment in any environment, without impacting operation or recreation.

D-Sediment

- Dr. Michael Detering Laura Backes, and Joana Kueppers, Germany, Sediment Continuity and Restoration
- Developed the SediMover technology as an autonomous vessel for efficient 24/7 sediment transfer from reservoirs.
- Patented and scalable, modular technology can be used for downstream river sediment continuity or sediment land processing.
- Generally no limits in control, transfer range, and scope. The transfer is measured constantly onboard and is documented.

Mazdak International

- Baha Abulnaga, Washington, High Volume Deep Dredging for Low Water De-silting
- Developing a new technology based on 3 steps: (1) a deep dredging slurry piston pump engine, (2) dewatering sediments in settling ponds, and (3) hydraulic capsule pipelines to transport dewatered sediments in dry or semi-dry form (NSF grant).
- Technology is based on minimizing water and power consumption and reducing abrasion in pumps and pipelines.

Questions and discussion



— BUREAU OF — RECLAMATION